

## Problem Set on Multidimensional Poverty Measures

### ANSWER KEY

#### A) Paper-Based Problems:

Given the following matrix of distribution of three dimensions (income, self rated health, and years of education):

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 5 \\ 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 12 & 1 & 11 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 15 & 1 & 9 \\ 12 & 5 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \quad z = [10 \quad 3 \quad 8]$$

$$g^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Then using } k=2: g^0(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g^1(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.66 & 0.375 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.7 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad g^2(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.36 & 0.44 & 0.14 \\ 0.04 & 0 & 0.0625 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.49 & 0 & 0.0625 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

I. Then:  $H=1/20.50$

$M_0=7/18=0.39$

$M_1=3.0375/18=0.17$

$M_2=1.595/18=0.088$

II. Contribution of each dimension:

Contribution of Income= $[(3/6)*(1/3)]/0.39=0.43$

Contribution of Health= $[(1/6)*(1/3)]/0.39=0.14$

Contribution of Education= $[(3/6)*(1/3)]/0.39=0.43$

This means that deprivation in income accounts for 43% of overall multidimensional poverty. Same for education, whereas deprivation in health accounts for 14% of overall M0.

III. If individual 2 reports a health status of 2 instead of 4, it becomes deprived in one additional dimension. This refers to dimensional monotonicity. H will not change, but Mo, M1 and M2 will increase.

b) Using nested weights:  $w = [2 \quad 0.5 \quad 0.5]$  Now we compute the weighted  $g_0$  matrix:

$$g_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Then using } k=2, \text{ the same people will be}$$

identified as multidimensionally poor as before, but this may not always be the case.

$$g_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g^1(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(0.6) & 0.5(0.66) & 0.5(0.375) \\ 2(0.2) & 0 & 0.5(0.25) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2(0.7) & 0 & 0.5(0.25) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g^2(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(0.36) & 0.5(0.44) & 0.5(0.14) \\ 2(0.04) & 0 & 0.5(0.0625) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2(0.49) & 0 & 0.5(0.0625) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$H=1/2$$

$$M0=8/18=0.44$$

$$M1=3.77/18=0.21$$

$$M2=2.13/18=0.118$$

## B) Computer-Based Problems (Using Stata):

See the do file attached.

a) The overall sample, with the different k values and distinguishing between urban and rural areas

k	Multidimensional H			Mo		
	Total	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban
1	0.64	0.75	0.34	0.26	0.33	0.08
2	0.38	0.49	0.053	0.21	0.28	0.022
3	0.20	0.27	0.004	0.14	0.19	0.002
4	0.077	0.105	0	0.064	0.09	0
5	0.013	0.018	0	0.013	0.018	0

For k=2, H=0.38, M0=0.21, so A=0.55, meaning that on average the multidimensional poor are deprived in 2.75 dimensions.

c) What is the percentage of people deprived in each dimension? How are these percentages in rural and urban areas? Which dimensions present the highest levels of deprivation?

We see for example that there are 23% of the population deprived in income, 32% deprived in education, 36% deprived in room, 31% deprived in electricity and 9% in water. These % are higher in rural areas when compared with urban ones.

sum INC\_Deprived EDU\_Deprived ROOM\_Deprived ELECTR\_Deprived WATER\_Deprived

variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
INC_Deprived	24786	.2300896	.4208985	0	1
EDU_Deprived	24786	.321149	.4669273	0	1
ROOM_Deprived	24786	.3601226	.4800454	0	1
ELECTR_Deprived	24786	.3140886	.4641612	0	1
WATER_Deprived	24786	.0955781	.2940178	0	1

```
. sort area
. by area: sum INC_Deprived EDU_Deprived ROOM_Deprived ELECTR_Deprived
WATER_Deprived
```

```
-----
-> area = urban
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
INC_Deprived	6508	.0145974	.1199439	0	1
EDU_Deprived	6508	.1401352	.3471539	0	1
ROOM_Deprived	6508	.2212661	.4151312	0	1
ELECTR_Deprived	6508	.0127535	.1122177	0	1
WATER_Deprived	6508	.0061463	.0781629	0	1

```
-----
-> area = rural
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
INC_Deprived	18278	.3068169	.4611854	0	1
EDU_Deprived	18278	.3856002	.4867501	0	1
ROOM_Deprived	18278	.4095634	.4917667	0	1
ELECTR_Deprived	18278	.4213809	.4937939	0	1
WATER_Deprived	18278	.1274209	.333453	0	1

c) How do the measures change as k increases? How is M0 with respect to H? Why? For k=2, in the estimates of the whole sample, what is the average deprivation share among the poor (A)? How do you interpret this?

As k increase, M0 decreases, because the identification criterion becomes more and more requiring, moving from a union approach to an intersection approach. M0 is always lower than H, because it adjusts the percentage of the multidimensionally poor by the average deprivation share among the poor. For k=2,  $A=M0/H=0.21/0.38=0.55$ .