

Decomposing the adjusted headcount ratio

Gastón Yalonetzky

Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative

September 2010

Table of contents

Introduction

Methodology

Basic decompositions

Data

Results

Basic decomposition of MPI

Decomposition of H

Decomposition of A

Inference

Concluding remarks

Introduction

- ▶ The adjusted headcount ratio, M_0 , has nice decomposability properties, e.g. by regions, groups, etc.

Introduction

- ▶ The adjusted headcount ratio, $M0$, has nice decomposability properties, e.g. by regions, groups, etc.
- ▶ In this class we will explore the nice decomposability properties of $\Delta\%M0$ and its components: $\Delta\%H$ and $\Delta\%A$.

Introduction

- ▶ The adjusted headcount ratio, $M0$, has nice decomposability properties, e.g. by regions, groups, etc.
- ▶ In this class we will explore the nice decomposability properties of $\Delta\%M0$ and its components: $\Delta\%H$ and $\Delta\%A$.
- ▶ This material is based on Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky (2010).

Introduction

- ▶ We are going to study the most basic time decompositions first.

Introduction

- ▶ We are going to study the most basic time decompositions first.
- ▶ Then we will show some results from Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonzky (2010).

Introduction

- ▶ We are going to study the most basic time decompositions first.
- ▶ Then we will show some results from Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalowitzky (2010).
- ▶ Then we will discuss one method to produce confidence intervals for these changes.

Introduction

- ▶ We are going to study the most basic time decompositions first.
- ▶ Then we will show some results from Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky (2010).
- ▶ Then we will discuss one method to produce confidence intervals for these changes.
- ▶ We will finish with some remarks on comparability from Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky (2010)

Basic Notation

Matrix of deprivation gaps:

Basic Notation

Matrix of deprivation gaps:

$$g_{nd}(k) = \frac{z_d - x_{nd}}{z_d} \text{ if } z_d > x_{nd} \wedge c_n \geq k$$

$$g_{nd}(k) = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Basic Notation

Matrix of deprivation gaps:

$$g_{nd}(k) = \frac{z_d - x_{nd}}{z_d} \text{ if } z_d > x_{nd} \wedge c_n \geq k$$

$$g_{nd}(k) = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Multidimensional poverty headcount:

$$H(X^t; Z) \equiv \frac{1}{N^t} \sum_{n=1}^{N^t} \left[\sum_{d=1}^D w_d g_{nd}(k) \right]^0 = \frac{1}{N^t} \sum_{n=1}^{N^t} I(c_n \geq k)$$

Basic Notation

Average deprivation of the poor:

Basic Notation

Average deprivation of the poor:

$$A(X^t; Z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N^t} \sum_{d=1}^D w_d [g_{nd}(k)]^0}{D \sum_{n=1}^{N^t} [\sum_{d=1}^D w_d g_{nd}(k)]^0}$$

Basic Notation

Average deprivation of the poor:

$$A(X^t; Z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N^t} \sum_{d=1}^D w_d [g_{nd}(k)]^0}{D \sum_{n=1}^{N^t} [\sum_{d=1}^D w_d g_{nd}(k)]^0}$$

The M0, or MPI:

$$M^0(X^t; Z) \equiv H^t A^t$$

Basic Notation

Average deprivation of the poor:

$$A(X^t; Z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N^t} \sum_{d=1}^D w_d [g_{nd}(k)]^0}{D \sum_{n=1}^{N^t} [\sum_{d=1}^D w_d g_{nd}(k)]^0}$$

The M0, or MPI:

$$M^0(X^t; Z) \equiv H^t A^t$$

$$\Delta\%_a M^0(t) \equiv \frac{M^0(X^t; Z) - M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)}{M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)}$$

Basic decomposition of M0

$$\Delta\%_a M^0(t) = \Delta\%_a H(t) + \Delta\%_a A(t) + \Delta\%_a H(t)\Delta\%_a A(t)$$

- ▶ $\Delta\%_a H(t)$ and $\Delta\%_a A(t)$ are not generally independent, but sometimes a change in one may not produce a change in the other.

Basic decomposition of M^0

$$\Delta^{\%_a} M^0(t) = \Delta^{\%_a} H(t) + \Delta^{\%_a} A(t) + \Delta^{\%_a} H(t) \Delta^{\%_a} A(t)$$

- ▶ $\Delta^{\%_a} H(t)$ and $\Delta^{\%_a} A(t)$ are not generally independent, but sometimes a change in one may not produce a change in the other.
- ▶ E.g. if $k = D$: $\Delta^{\%_a} A(t) = 0$, and $\Delta^{\%_a} M(t) = \Delta^{\%_a} H(t)$.

Basic decomposition of M^0

$$\Delta\%_a M^0(t) = \Delta\%_a H(t) + \Delta\%_a A(t) + \Delta\%_a H(t)\Delta\%_a A(t)$$

- ▶ $\Delta\%_a H(t)$ and $\Delta\%_a A(t)$ are not generally independent, but sometimes a change in one may not produce a change in the other.
- ▶ E.g. if $k = D$: $\Delta\%_a A(t) = 0$, and $\Delta\%_a M(t) = \Delta\%_a H(t)$.
- ▶ or if $k < D$ it is possible that $\Delta\%_a H(t) = 0$ and $\Delta\%_a A(t) \neq 0$.

Basic decomposition of M0

$$\Delta\%_a M^0(t) = \Delta\%_a H(t) + \Delta\%_a A(t) + \Delta\%_a H(t)\Delta\%_a A(t)$$

- ▶ $\Delta\%_a H(t)$ and $\Delta\%_a A(t)$ are not generally independent, but sometimes a change in one may not produce a change in the other.
- ▶ E.g. if $k = D$: $\Delta\%_a A(t) = 0$, and $\Delta\%_a M(t) = \Delta\%_a H(t)$.
- ▶ or if $k < D$ it is possible that $\Delta\%_a H(t) = 0$ and $\Delta\%_a A(t) \neq 0$.
- ▶ As k goes from 1 to D , H decreases and A increases "mechanically". Hence as k increases toward D , it is more likely to find higher $\Delta\%_a H(t)$ and lower $\Delta\%_a A(t)$.

Basic decomposition of H

H is decomposable into the multidimensional headcounts of subgroups:

$$H(X^t, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^G \psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)$$

Basic decomposition of H

H is decomposable into the multidimensional headcounts of subgroups:

$$H(X^t, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^G \psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)$$

Where $\psi_i^t \equiv \frac{N_i^t}{N^t}$

Basic decomposition of H

H is decomposable into the multidimensional headcounts of subgroups:

$$H(X^t, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^G \psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)$$

Where $\psi_i^t \equiv \frac{N_i^t}{N^t}$

In turn:

$$H^i(X_i^t, Z) = \frac{1}{N_i^t} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\sum_{d=1}^D w_d g_{nd}(k) \right]^0 I(n \in i)$$

Basic decomposition of H

$$\Delta\%_a H(t) = \sum_{i=1}^G \Delta\%_a [\psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)] r_i(t-a)$$

Basic decomposition of H

$$\Delta\%_a H(t) = \sum_{i=1}^G \Delta\%_a [\psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)] r_i(t-a)$$

Where $r_i(t-a) \equiv \frac{\psi_i^{t-a} H^i(X_i^{t-a}, Z)}{H(X^{t-a}, Z)}$

Basic decomposition of H

$$\Delta\%_a H(t) = \sum_{i=1}^G \Delta\%_a [\psi_i^t H^i(X_i^t, Z)] r_i(t-a)$$

Where $r_i(t-a) \equiv \frac{\psi_i^{t-a} H^i(X_i^{t-a}, Z)}{H(X^{t-a}, Z)}$

Then:

$$\Delta\%_a H(t) = \sum_{i=1}^G r_i(t-a) [\Delta\%_a \psi_i^t + \Delta\%_a H^i(X_i^t, Z) + \Delta\%_a \psi_i^t \Delta\%_a H^i(X_i^t, Z)]$$

Basic decomposition of A

$$\Delta\%_a A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D \Delta\%_a [\theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] s_d(t-a)$$

Basic decomposition of A

$$\Delta\%_a A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D \Delta\%_a [\theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] s_d(t-a)$$

Where $\theta_d \equiv \frac{w_d}{D}$ and $s_d(t-a) \equiv \frac{\theta_d A_d(X^{t-a}, Z)}{A(X^{t-a}, Z)}$

Basic decomposition of A

$$\Delta\%_a A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D \Delta\%_a [\theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] s_d(t-a)$$

Where $\theta_d \equiv \frac{w_d}{D}$ and $s_d(t-a) \equiv \frac{\theta_d A_d(X^{t-a}, Z)}{A(X^{t-a}, Z)}$

And $A_d(X^t, Z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N^t} I(g_{nd} > 0)}{N(t)H(t)}$

Then:

$$\Delta\%_a A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a) [\Delta\%_a \theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a) [\Delta\%_a A_d(X^t, Z)]$$

Then:

$$\Delta^{\%_a}A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a)[\Delta^{\%_a}\theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a)[\Delta^{\%_a}A_d(X^t, Z)]$$

Because, by construction, $\Delta^{\%_a}\theta_d = 0$

Then:

$$\Delta^{\%}_a A(t) = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a) [\Delta^{\%}_a \theta_d A_d(X^t, Z)] = \sum_{d=1}^D s_d(t-a) [\Delta^{\%}_a A_d(X^t, Z)]$$

Because, by construction, $\Delta^{\%}_a \theta_d = 0$

In practical comparisons, we divide the changes by the year-gaps to improve comparability.

The countries

Country	Years
Bangladesh	2004-2007
Colombia	1995-2005
Ethiopia	2000-2005
Ghana	2003-2008
India	1999-2005
Morocco	1992-2004
Nepal	2001-2006
Nigeria	1999-2003
Tanzania	2005-2008
Vietnam	1997-2002


The variables

Variable	B	C	E	G	I	M	Ne	Ni	T	V
Years school	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Enrollment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Child mortality	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Nutrition	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	x
Electricity	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Toilet	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Water	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Floor	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cooking	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	✓	x	✓	x
Asset	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓


B=Bangladesh; C=Colombia; E=Ethiopia; G=Ghana; I=India

M=Morocco; Ne=Nepal; Ni=Nigeria; T=Tanzania; V=Vietnam


Decomposition of M0 for 10 countries and k=3




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Bangladesh




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Colombia




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Ethiopia




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Ghana




The impact of the choice of k: the case of India




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Morocco




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Nepal




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Nigeria




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Tanzania




The impact of the choice of k: the case of Vietnam



Decomposition of H for k=3



Decomposition of A for k=3



Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Let's sketch out a bootstrap approach:

Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Let's sketch out a bootstrap approach:

1. Produce a bootstrapped distribution of $M^0(X^t; Z)$ and $M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)$, the same for H and A , and all the other elements, for a number of resamplings, e.g. 1000.

Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Let's sketch out a bootstrap approach:

1. Produce a bootstrapped distribution of $M^0(X^t; Z)$ and $M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)$, the same for H and A , and all the other elements, for a number of resamplings, e.g. 1000.
2. Compute the $\Delta\%$ by selecting one set of values from $t-a$ and combining it against all the other values in t ; and then again with another set from $t-a$.

Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Let's sketch out a bootstrap approach:

1. Produce a bootstrapped distribution of $M^0(X^t; Z)$ and $M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)$, the same for H and A , and all the other elements, for a number of resamplings, e.g. 1000.
2. Compute the Δ^0 by selecting one set of values from $t-a$ and combining it against all the other values in t ; and then again with another set from $t-a$.
3. Such combination should yield one million decomposed changes.

Producing confidence intervals for the changes

Two ways of producing standard errors (and confidence intervals):
analytically derived and resamplings (e.g. bootstrap, jackknife)

Let's sketch out a bootstrap approach:

1. Produce a bootstrapped distribution of $M^0(X^t; Z)$ and $M^0(X^{t-a}; Z)$, the same for H and A , and all the other elements, for a number of resamplings, e.g. 1000.
2. Compute the $\Delta\%$ by selecting one set of values from $t-a$ and combining it against all the other values in t ; and then again with another set from $t-a$.
3. Such combination should yield one million decomposed changes.
4. With these observations one can both estimate a standard error or confidence intervals (e.g. using the percentile method)

Concluding remarks on time comparisons with M0 across countries

When time periods differ three potential problems of comparability arise:
(Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky, 2010)

Concluding remarks on time comparisons with M0 across countries

When time periods differ three potential problems of comparability arise:
(Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky, 2010)

- ▶ Time spans are different: It may give undue advantage for, say, poverty reduction to the country with the longest observed span.
Solution: Annualize rates of change.

Concluding remarks on time comparisons with M0 across countries

When time periods differ three potential problems of comparability arise: (Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky, 2010)

- ▶ Time spans are different: It may give undue advantage for, say, poverty reduction to the country with the longest observed span. Solution: Annualize rates of change.
- ▶ Time spans are different: If the differences are too wild it may be that for one country we observe short-term business cycle fluctuations whereas for the other we observe a medium-term growth trend. Solution: Restrict comparisons to time spans that do not differ too wildly.

Concluding remarks on time comparisons with M0 across countries

When time periods differ three potential problems of comparability arise: (Apablaza, Ocampo and Yalonetzky, 2010)

- ▶ Time spans are different: It may give undue advantage for, say, poverty reduction to the country with the longest observed span. Solution: Annualize rates of change.
- ▶ Time spans are different: If the differences are too wild it may be that for one country we observe short-term business cycle fluctuations whereas for the other we observe a medium-term growth trend. Solution: Restrict comparisons to time spans that do not differ too wildly.
- ▶ The years are different: Even when spans are equal, taking year brackets too far apart may affect the meaningfulness of the comparison. (E.g. Kenya in the 1950s with Chile in the 1990s). Solution: Justify your comparisons when the years are different.