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## Motivation

- A remarkable poverty reduction: 58\% (1993) - 13\% (2010)
- However
$16 \%$ : under five mortality rate (2011)
$23 \%$ of children is stunted (height-for-age malnourished)
$29 \%$ of households has no access to clean water (2010)
$59 \%$ of households has no access to improved sanitation
- Has multidimensional poverty made the same progress as monetary one?

China

## Data

- Panel household survey
- 3 waves: 2007, 2008, 2010
- 3 poor provinces
- 2000 households
- 7000 individuals


## Method

- Apply Alkire-Foster method
- Compare Consumption and MD poverty by sub-groups
- Compare Changes in Consumption and MD poverty over time
- Decompose the Changes in MPI by incidence and intensity


## MD poverty: dimensions, indicators, weights

| Dimensions | Indicators | Deprived if... | Relative weight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Health | BMI | Any adult $(\geq 15$ years old) has BMI less than 18 or more than 25 | $16.7 \%$ |
|  | Health <br> functioning | Any member suffering serious disease/injury and unable to pursue <br> main occupation for at least 4 weeks | $16.7 \%$ |
| Education | Schooling | No household member has completed five years of schooling | $16.7 \%$ |
|  | Child enrolment | Any school-aged child is not attending school in years 1 to 8 | $16.7 \%$ |
|  | Cooking fuel | The household cooks with dung, wood, rice leaf or charcoal | $5.6 \%$ |
| Standard | Water | The household's sanitation facility is not improved, or it is <br> improved but shared with other households | $5.6 \%$ |
| of living | Electricity | The household does not have access to clean drinking water. | $5.6 \%$ |
|  | Housing | The household has no electricity <br> straw/wood. | $5.6 \%$ |
|  | Assets | The household does not own more than one of: radio, TV, <br> telephone, bike, motorbike or refrigerator, and does not own a car <br> or truck. | $5.6 \%$ |

## Analysis of association

|  | Cooking fuel |  | Sanitation |  | Water |  | Electricity |  | Housing |  | Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooking fuel | 1.00 | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sanitation | 0.39 | *** | 1.00 | *** |  |  |  |  | Cramer's V |  |  |  |
| Water | 0.11 | *** | 0.26 | *** | 1.00 | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | *** |  |  |  |  |
| Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | *** | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.01 | *** | 0.18 | *** | 1.00 | *** |

## Poverty cutoffs

| Multidimensional poverty 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cutoff (\%) | H | A | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ |
| 10 | 91.4 | 32.4 | 0.296 |
| 20 | 72.6 | 37.0 | 0.268 |
| 30 | 48.8 | 42.8 | 0.209 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | 49.5 | 0.141 |
| 40 | 23.6 | 51.7 | 0.122 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | 54.7 | 0.091 |
| 50 | 16.7 | 54.7 | 0.091 |
| 60 | 3.9 | 67.2 | 0.026 |
| 70 | 1.0 | 76.1 | 0.008 |
| 80 | 0.2 | 83.3 | 0.001 |


| Consumption poverty |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Poverty line | Poverty rate |
| $\$ 2.50$ | 43.1 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 2.02$ | 28.7 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1.60$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 1.25$ | 6.7 |

## Raw and Censored headcounts

| Indicators | Raw headcount, | Censored headcount, 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | $\mathrm{k}=35 \%$ | $\mathrm{k}=45 \%$ |
| BMI | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5}$ | 26.3 | 15.4 |
| Health functioning | 25.5 | 18.2 | 11.9 |
| Schooling | 8.8 | 5.2 | 4.3 |
| Attendance | 12.9 | 9.2 | 6.4 |
| Cooking fuel | $\mathbf{6 8 . 3}$ | 25.0 | 16.1 |
| Sanitation | $\mathbf{6 6 . 3}$ | 22.7 | 15.3 |
| Drink water | $\mathbf{6 9 . 7}$ | 22.5 | 15.2 |
| Electricity | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Housing | 5.4 | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| Assets | 6.6 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| Total / Average |  | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ |

## Cons. and MD poverty by household size, k=45, \%

| Household <br> size | MPI poor | Cons. poor | MPI poor, <br> Cons. non-poor | MPI non-poor, <br> Cons. poor | Both | Population <br> share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.4 |
| 3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 11.9 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 24.8 |
| 5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| $7+$ | 4.9 | 5.0 | 16.8 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | 11.0 | 3.6 |

## Cons. and MD poverty by cons. quintile, $\mathrm{k}=45$, \%

| Consumption <br> quintile | MPI poor | Cons. poor | MPI poor, <br> Cons. non-poor | MPI non-poor, <br> Cons. poor | Both | Population <br> share |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First (poorest) | 6.4 | 16.7 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 5.7 | 20.1 |
| Second | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 |
| Third | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 |
| Fourth | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 |
| Fifth (richest) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Changes in Cons. and MD poverty

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2010 | Absolute change 2010-2007 | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cons. poverty (\$2.02) | (\%) | 38.1 | 34.0 | 28.7 | -6.6 | *** |
| MPI poverty (35\%) | H (\%) | 32.6 | 30.1 | 28.6 | -4 | *** |
|  | A (\%) | 50.2 | 49.6 | 49.5 | -0.7 | *** |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 0.164 | 0.149 | 0.141 | -0.023 | *** |
| Cons. poverty (\$1.60) | (\%) | 38.1 | 34.0 | 28.7 | -9.3 | *** |
| MPI poverty (45\%) | H (\%) | 21.3 | 18.1 | 16.7 | -4.6 | *** |
|  | A (\%) | 55.0 | 54.9 | 54.7 | -0.3 | *** |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 0.117 | 0.099 | 0.091 | -0.026 | *** |

## Changes in composition of MPI, at $\mathrm{k}=35 \%$



## Changes in MPI by ecological zone, $\mathrm{k}=35 \%$

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ |  |  | H |  |  | A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2010 | '10-'07 | 2007 | 2010 | '10-’07 | 2007 | 2010 | '10-’07 |
| Coastal | 0.168 | 0.132 | (-0.04) | 33.4 | 26.7 | (-6.7) | 50.3 | 49.6 | (-0.7) |
| Plain | 0.162 | 0.132 | (-0.03) | 32.4 | 26.6 | $(-5.8)$ | 50.0 | 49.7 | (-0.3) |
| Mountainous | 0.163 | 0.157 | (-0.01) | 32.3 | 31.8 | (-0.5) | 50.4 | 49.3 | (-1.1) |
| Average | 0.164 | 0.141 | (-0.02) | 32.6 | 28.6 | (-4.0) | 50.2 | 49.5 | (-0.7) |

## Changes in MPI by ethnic group, $k=35 \%$

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ |  |  | H |  |  | A |  |  | Population <br> share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2010 | '10-'07 | 2007 | 2010 | '10-'07 | 2007 | 2010 | '10-'07 |  |
| Minority groups | 0.245 | 0.230 | (-0.02) | 47.1 | 44.4 | (-2.7) | 52.1 | 51.9 | (-0.2) | 19.7 |
| Kinh (majority) | 0.144 | 0.120 | (-0.02) | 29.1 | 24.7 | (-4.4) | 49.5 | 48.4 | (-1.1) | 80.3 |
| Average | 0.164 | 0.141 | (-0.02) | 32.6 | 28.6 | (-4.0) | 50.2 | 49.5 | (-0.7) | 100 |

## Comparison of Consumption and MPI changes, \%

| Cons. $2007 \downarrow$ | Consumption 2008 |  |  |  | Multidimension (MPI) 2008 |  |  |  | MPI 2007 $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<1.60$ | 1.6-2.5 | $2.5+$ | Total | $\geq 45$ | 33-45 | $\leq 33$ | Total |  |
| <\$1.60 | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (51.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ (37.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (42.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ (43.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (13.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 45 \%$ |
| \$1.6- \$2.5 | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ (45.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ (37.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.9 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (19.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ (45.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (35.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.5 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | 33-45\% |
| \$2.5 + | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ (19.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \\ (77.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.9 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (4.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (24.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.5 \\ (70.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.2 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\leq 33 \%$ |
| Total | 18.6 | 32.0 | 49.4 | 100.0 | 18.1 | 35.9 | 46.0 | 100.0 | Total |
| Cons. $2008 \downarrow$ | Consumption 2010 |  |  |  | Multidimension (MPI) 2010 |  |  |  | MPI 2008 $\downarrow$ |
|  | <1.60 | $1.6-2.5$ | $2.5+$ | Total | $\geq 45$ | 33-45 | $\geq 33$ | Total |  |
| <\$1.60 | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (52.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (31.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.1 \\ (16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.8 \\ (37.4) \end{gathered}$ | $7.4$ <br> (41.1) | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (21.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 45 \%$ |
| \$1.6- \$2.5 | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ (40.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.6 \\ (42.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.0 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (18.9) \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 <br> (42.3) | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (38.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.9 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $33-45 \%$ |
| \$2.5 + | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.8 \\ (80.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.4 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ | 9.5 <br> (20.6) | 33.4 <br> (72.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 46.0 \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 33 \%$ |
| Total | 16.7 | 26.8 | 56.5 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 32.1 | 51.2 | 100.0 | Total |

## Changes in MPI by consumption poverty track

| Cons. poverty track | $\mathrm{M}_{0}(2007)$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{M}_{0}(2010)$ | $\%$ | $\Delta \mathrm{M}_{0}\left(10-{ }^{\prime} 07\right)$ |  | Population share |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Rising (PN) | 0.234 | $(41.1)$ | 0.210 | $(36.6)$ | -0.024 | $*$ | 6.4 |
| Falling (NP) | 0.215 | $(34.8)$ | 0.276 | $(48.2)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ | $* * *$ | 3.6 |
| Staying (PPP) | 0.183 | $(33.6)$ | 0.389 | $(59.4)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ | $* * *$ | 0.8 |
| \$1.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rising (PN) | 0.227 | $(40.1)$ | 0.194 | $(34.2)$ | -0.033 | $* * *$ | 9.2 |
| Falling (NP) | 0.209 | $(35.7)$ | 0.257 | $(44.9)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8}$ | $*$ | 4.1 |
| Staying (PPP) | 0.294 | $(49.8)$ | 0.266 | $(42.3)$ | -0.028 |  | 2.3 |
| \$1.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rising (PN) | 0.176 | $(31.8)$ | 0.130 | $(24.3)$ | -0.046 | $* * *$ | 14.1 |
| Falling (NP) | 0.178 | $(32.2)$ | 0.130 | $(23.1)$ | -0.047 | $* * *$ | 6.9 |
| Staying (PPP) | 0.287 | $(49.0)$ | 0.271 | $(45.6)$ | -0.016 | $*$ | 7.7 |
| \$2.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rising (PN) | 0.120 | $(22.2)$ | 0.095 | $(17.6)$ | -0.025 | $* * *$ | 18.4 |
| Falling (NP) | 0.145 | $(26.7)$ | 0.087 | $(16.7)$ | -0.058 | $* * *$ | 8.6 |
| Staying (PPP) | 0.246 | $(42.2)$ | 0.218 | $(37.9)$ | -0.027 | $* * *$ | 17.2 |
| \$2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rising (PN) | 0.102 | $(19.5)$ | 0.079 | $(15.3)$ | -0.023 | $* * *$ | 20.7 |
| Falling (NP) | 0.108 | $(20.5)$ | 0.067 | $(13.0)$ | -0.040 | $* * *$ | 8.8 |
| Staying (PPP) | 0.212 | $(37.2)$ | 0.177 | $(30.9)$ | -0.036 | $* * *$ | 30.0 |

## Conclusion

- Improvement in Monetary and Multidimensional poverty
- Monetary poor is the issue of the poorest of the poor Multidimensional poor happens people of all income ranges
- People from the Kinh (majority) / Educated background make much progress than others
- There is more fluctuation in MPI poverty than in Cons. poor
- Extreme poor: Monetary poor and MPI poor show the same +/changes

Moderate- \& Non-poor: make progress in MPI despite +/- in monetary wealth

[^0]
## Changes in MPI of 'rising’ group at \$1.6, $\mathrm{k}=45 \%$

|  | Poverty incidence (H) |  | Intensity of poverty (A) | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta \mathbf{H}$ | Contribution to <br>  | $\Delta \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{A}$ | Contribution to <br> $\Delta \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2010 |
| Head's education |  |  |  |  | $2010-2007$ |  |
| None | -5.3 | $(78.6)$ | -1.4 | $(21.4)$ | 0.213 | -0.067 |
| Primary | -0.5 | $(66.6)$ | -0.2 | $(33.4)$ | 0.159 | $-0.007 * * *$ |
| Middle | -3.0 | $(83.7)$ | -0.6 | $(16.3)$ | 0.100 | $-0.035^{* * *}$ |
| Secondary | -16.3 | $(95.5)$ | -0.8 | $(4.5)$ | 0.102 | $-0.171^{* * *}$ |
| Head's ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority groups | -0.4 | $(100.9)$ | 0.1 | $(-0.9)$ | 0.171 | -0.002 |
| Kinh (majority) | -5.6 | $(86.2)$ | -0.9 | $(13.8)$ | 0.112 | $-0.065^{* * *}$ |
| Ecological zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | -0.8 | $(29.1)$ | -2.0 | $(70.9)$ | 0.151 | -0.028 |
| Plain | -7.8 | $(102.2)$ | 0.9 | $(-2.2)$ | 0.110 | -0.070 |
| Mountainous | -1.4 | $(52.7)$ | -1.3 | $(47.3)$ | 0.143 | -0.027 |
| Total | -4.1 | $(89.0)$ | -0.5 | $(11.0)$ | 0.130 | -0.046 |

## Changes in censored headcounts ratios, $\mathrm{k}=45 \%$



Changes in censored headcount ratios at $\mathrm{k}=45 \%$, 2010-2007

## MD \& Consumption poverty by cons. quintile, \%

|  | Neither | MPI poor, Cons. <br> non-poor | MPI non-poor, <br> Cons. poor | Both | Total/Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population share | 72.3 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | 100 |
| Head's education (years) | 7.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 7.0 |
| Head is Kinh (\%) | 87.1 | 72.6 | 57.9 | 52.9 | 80.3 |
| Ecological zone (\%) |  |  |  |  | 20.5 |
| Coastal | 27.4 | 26.1 | 34.7 | 34.4 | 36.5 |
| Plain | 37.9 | 42.8 | 45.1 | 45.0 | 37.3 |
| Mountainous | 34.7 |  |  |  | 26.2 |


[^0]:    End of presentation

